



Sample report of the thesis discussion committee

To obtain a master's degree / doctorate

First: General information:

Student name:.....	University Number:.....	degree:.....
College:.....	Scientific Department:.....subspeciality:.....
Message Title:.....		
.....		
.....		
Discussion date:..... From: to:am/pm		
Has this message been discussed before: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		
If yes, state the date of the first discussion.....		

Second: Members of the discussion committee

Members of the discussion committee according to the decision of the Permanent Committee for Postgraduate Studies in its session () dated: / / 14 AH			
Membership status	Academic Rank	the name	M
Supervisor and rapporteur			1
Member			2
Member			3
Member			4
Member			5

Third: Information about the message:

M	phrase		
1	The message fulfills the scientific background of the research topic.	Fully satisfied <input type="checkbox"/>	Partially satisfied <input type="checkbox"/> Not satisfied <input type="checkbox"/>



2	Importance of the research topic <input type="checkbox"/> Very important <input type="checkbox"/> somewhat important not important <input type="checkbox"/>
3	Originality Innovation High <input type="checkbox"/> Medium <input type="checkbox"/> Low <input type="checkbox"/>
4	Methods used in research theory <input type="checkbox"/> practical <input type="checkbox"/> Field <input type="checkbox"/> Modeling <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/>
5	Level of scientific documentation (sources and references) excellent <input type="checkbox"/> very good <input type="checkbox"/> good <input type="checkbox"/> acceptable <input type="checkbox"/> weak <input type="checkbox"/>
6	Analysis methods Deep and convincing <input type="checkbox"/> Deep and somewhat convincing <input type="checkbox"/> Superficial Not convincing <input type="checkbox"/>
7	Research objectivity level Methodical, objective, <input type="checkbox"/> impressionistic and subjective <input type="checkbox"/>
8	Writing level excellent <input type="checkbox"/> very good <input type="checkbox"/> good <input type="checkbox"/> acceptable <input type="checkbox"/> weak <input type="checkbox"/>
9	Organization and coherence of the message parts excellent <input type="checkbox"/> very good <input type="checkbox"/> good <input type="checkbox"/> acceptable <input type="checkbox"/> weak <input type="checkbox"/>
10	Presentation level during discussion excellent <input type="checkbox"/> very good <input type="checkbox"/> good <input type="checkbox"/> acceptable <input type="checkbox"/> weak <input type="checkbox"/>
11	Linking recommendations to search results Close and specific association <input type="checkbox"/> Medium and acceptable association <input type="checkbox"/> Weak and marginal association <input type="checkbox"/> not applicable <input type="checkbox"/>

Fourth: Recommendation to accept the thesis and award the degree:

Acceptance of the message and recommendation for awarding the degree. <input type="checkbox"/>
Accepting the thesis and recommending awarding the degree after making amendments, without discussing it again, <input type="checkbox"/>
and the discussion committee authorizes the committee member
Happiness ----- Follow up on the student making the amendments within a period not exceeding three months from the date of the discussion.



Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
Ministry of Education
Shaqua University
University Agency for Postgraduate
Studies and Scientific Research
Executive Management of Graduate
Studies

Complete the deficiencies in the message and re-discuss it.¹
Message not accepted.

☐☐

Fifth: Other comments:

.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....

Signatures²

Committee Rapporteur

Member

Member

Member

member

In the event that this recommendation is taken into account, the College Council shall determine, based on the recommendation of the relevant department council, the date for the re-discussion, provided that this does not exceed one year from the date of the first discussion.

-In the event of a difference of opinion between any member of the discussion committee on the thesis, he has the right to submit his opposing views or reservations in a detailed report to both the head of the department and the dean of the college, within a period not exceeding two weeks from the date of the discussion.